

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Naoya Omori et al.

Application No.: Not Yet Assigned

Confirmation No.: N/A

Filed: Concurrently Herewith

Art Unit: N/A

For: COATED CUTTING TOOL HAVING
COATING FILM ON BASE (as amended)

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS)

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.56, 1.97 and 1.98, the attention of the Patent and Trademark Office is hereby directed to the references listed on the attached PTO/SB/08. It is respectfully requested that the information be expressly considered during the prosecution of this application, and that the references be made of record therein and appear among the "References Cited" on any patent to issue therefrom.

This Information Disclosure Statement accompanies the new patent application submitted herewith.

A summary/abstract translation of the non-English language documents is enclosed.

Other information being provided for the examiner's consideration follows:

An International Search Report, which issued during the prosecution of PCT/JP2005/016286 which corresponds to the present application.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.97(g), the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement shall not be construed to mean that a search has been made or that no other material information as defined in 37 CFR 1.56(a) exists. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.97(h), the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement shall not be construed to be an admission that any patent, publication or other information referred to therein is "prior art" for this invention unless specifically designated as such.

It is submitted that the Information Disclosure Statement is in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98 and the Examiner is respectfully requested to consider the listed references.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiency of up to \$300.00 or credit any excess in this fee to Deposit Account No. 04-0100.

Dated: July 27, 2006

Respectfully submitted, 
By _____
Reg. No. 58,970

Louis J. DeJuidice
Registration No.: 47,522
DARBY & DARBY P.C.
P.O. Box 5257
New York, New York 10150-5257
(212) 527-7700
(212) 527-7701 (Fax)
Attorneys/Agents For Applicant

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person is required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449A/B/PTO				Complete if Known	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT				Application Number	Not Yet Assigned
(Use as many sheets as necessary)				Filing Date	Concurrently Herewith
Sheet	1	of	1	First Named Inventor	Naoya Omori
				Art Unit	N/A
				Examiner Name	Not Yet Assigned
				Attorney Docket Number	20239/0204176-US0

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Document Number Number-Kind Code ² (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS					
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Foreign Patent Document Country Code ³ -Number-Kind Code ⁴ (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
	BA	JP-2001-341008-A	12-11-2001	HITACHI TOOL ENGINEERING LTD	Abstract Attached ✓
	BB	JP-09-277103-A	10-28-1997	MITSUBISHI MATERIALS CORP	Abstract Attached ✓
	BC	JP-07-100701-A	04-18-1995	SUMITOMO ELECTRIC IND LTD	Abstract Attached ✓
	BD	JP-2793773-B2	09-03-1998	KOBE STEEL LTD	Corresponds to Citation No. BE ✓
	BE	JP-07-310174-A	11-28-1995	KOBE STEEL LTD	Abstract Attached ✓
	BF	JP-3347687-B2	11-20-2002	HITACHI TOOL ENGINEERING LTD	Corresponds to Citation No. BG ✓
	BG	JP-2000-326108-A	11-26-2000	HITACHI TOOL ENGINEERING LTD	Abstract Attached ✓
	BH	JP-2003-034859-A	02-07-2003	KOBE STEEL LTD	Abstract Attached ✓
	BI	JP-3719647-B2	11-24-2005	TOKYO METROPOLIS	Abstract of JP11- 302830 Attached ✓
	BJ	JP-2004-322226-A	11-18-2004	SUMITOMO ELECTRIC IND LTD	Abstract Attached ✓

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 603. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. ¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbol as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST 15 if possible. ⁶Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS					
Examiner Initials	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.			

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 603. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/016286

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

B23B27/14(2006.01), **B23B51/00**(2006.01), **B23C5/16**(2006.01), **C23C16/30**
(2006.01)

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

B23B27/14(2006.01), **B23B51/00**(2006.01), **B23C5/16**(2006.01), **C23C16/30**
(2006.01)

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Jitsuyo Shinan Koho	1922-1996	Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Koho	1996-2005
Kokai Jitsuyo Shinan Koho	1971-2005	Toroku Jitsuyo Shinan Koho	1994-2005

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	JP 2001-341008 A (Hitachi Tool Engineering Ltd.), 11 December, 2001 (11.12.01), Claims; Par. Nos. [0012], [0013] (Family: none)	1-13, 15-19
X	JP 9-277103 A (Mitsubishi Materials Corp.), 28 October, 1997 (28.10.97), Claims; Par. No. [0017]	1-3, 5-9, 11-13, 15, 16, 18, 19
Y	& EP 0786536 A1 & US 5985427 A	4, 10, 14, 17
Y	JP 7-100701 A (Sumitomo Electric Industries, Ltd.), 18 April, 1995 (18.04.95), Claims; Par. Nos. [0003], [0018], [0021] & EP 0653499 A1 & US 5915162 A & WO 1994/028191 A1	4, 10, 14, 17

 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reasons (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than

T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle of theory underlying the invention

X document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art